

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/690,657	03/02/2001	Thomas C. Thompson	-	3470
75	590 11/22/2002			
Thomas C. Thompson			EXAMINER	
92-543 Kokole PL Makakilo, HI 96707		·	DORSEY, DENNIS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/690,657	THOMAS C. THOMPSON
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•.		Dennis L Dorsey	3637
Period fo			\
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) decided will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	September 2002 .	
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims		
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application	n.	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are withdrawr	from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 10-21 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)🖾 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the Ex	kaminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) 🖾 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>30 J</u>	<u>uly 2001</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)∐	disapproved by the Examiner.
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •	
12) 📙 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Applica	ation No
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(e) (to a provisional application).
) The translation of the foreign language practice. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	* *	
Attachmen	t(s)		
2) Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 14

. Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 1-9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 9.
- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 10-21 in Paper No. 13 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 10-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claims 10-21 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure, which goes to make up the device, must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

6. Claims 10-16 do not set forth clearly defined method steps involved in the method for holding down the device, it is unclear what method applicant is intending to

. Art Unit: 3637

encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

- 7. Claim 10 recites the limitation "the bottom" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Regarding claim 10, the phrase "An improved method" should read " A method"
- 9. Regarding claims 11-16, the preambles should read "The method as claimed in claimed 1....".
- 10. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the circular sleeve" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 11. Regarding claim 12, the phrase "thereby having significantly more surface area in contact with the masonry than standard drilled holes" is indefinite and vague since the scope of a standard drilled hole is unascertainable.
- 12. Regarding claim 13, the claim is indefinite since the size and scope of the coring bit is unascertainable.
- 13. Claim 19 recites the limitation "said first mount" in line 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 14. Regarding claims 10-21, the Applicant is reminded to review the claims for additional 112 problems, as the above list is not exhaustive of all the errors in the claims.
- 15. A series of singular dependent claims is permissible in which a dependent claim refers to a preceding claim which, in turn, refers to another preceding claim.

Art Unit: 3637

A claim, which depends from a dependent claim, should not be separated by any claim, which does not also depend from said dependent claim. It should be kept in mind that a dependent claim may refer to any preceding independent claim. In general, applicant's sequence will not be changed. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

16. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 17. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gander Patent Number 4,351,139.

As best understood by the Examiner, Gander '139 teaches all the limitations of the above claims including a circular mount (1), hollow circular sides (3), base web (2), top web with hook-shaped opening (9), and the mount is for mounting to concrete (10).

18. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McKee Patent Number 1,489,038.

As best understood by the Examiner, McKee '038 teaches all the limitations of the above claims including a mount (9) with flat base web, perpendicular top web (10), rotatable means (14) about a center axis, and plurality of holes in the top web (10) for attaching means to a structure (6).

Art Unit: 3637

Conclusion

19. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

20. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

on		
(1	Date)	

Art Unit: 3637

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Signature:	
Certificate of Transmission	
hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) on (Date)	ıd
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Signature:	

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

21. The Applicant is encouraged to call the Examiner for an interview.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis L Dorsey whose telephone number is 703-306-9137. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

. Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1020.

DLD(X) November 14, 2002

> LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lamamai